

Letters to the editor...

NB: Please keep letters to approx 100-150 words in length. Ed.

Re: Fluoridation

Dear Duncan,

I would like to commend you for publishing yet another article on Fluoridation. Our secretive bureaucracy has really conned the public on this matter.

May I say something about the sly way the English language is used to give false legality to this unethical practice. The whole scam pivots around the word "adjust." If the Health Dept. told the whole truth and stated that an artificial substance was added to the water supply to help prevent tooth decay, then this would be officially classed as mass medication. But a half-truth is spoken - "the natural fluoride levels are adjusted up to the level of 1ppm" - conveniently not mentioning what with!

I have been told by government officials that sodium fluoride is not a pesticide and it is not a drug. We are told that dental fluorosis is only a cosmetic problem. And no amount of quoting from official registers and dictionaries can elicit a retraction of these blatant bits of misinformation.

The ACT Report on Water Fluoridation denies that fluoridation is an assault upon our civil liberties, because we have the option of filtering it out. The tap water - yes. Soy and juice products - NO. In spite of accepted medical ethics, we are being secretly dosed with fluoride.

The NH&MRC Reports state that the "socially disadvantaged" (whoever they are supposed to be) are the special target for water fluoridation because this counteracts any possible lapse of memory or negligence. So one assumes that these people

shouldn't even consider exercising their civil rights by filtering the water as suggested by the ACT Inquiry.

I am appalled to see sodium fluoride tablets on sale listing a dose for pregnant women (banned in the USA) and suggesting 0.25mg daily for infants over 2 months of age. Nature meant babies to only have about 0.025ppm (or 0.025mg per litre of milk). But nothing has been done about the infant formulas which can contain up to 3.70ppm (plus the 1ppm in the water, OR 0.25mg tablets). Why has this continued unchecked?

The water fluoridation programme is damned, not only by independent research, but by actual government reports and documents - even dictionaries. It was just hoped that the public was too stupid to actually seek them out and read them.

As for bureaucratic wording, it borders on newspeak.

J. Gow, New Brighton, NSW

Re: Yowies

Dear Mr. Roads,

Congratulations on a unique magazine! Having travelled extensively in Oz and researched the so-called "Yowie" myself, I've found that many Aborigines are aware of Mr. Rex Gilroy's efforts at tracking down what he considers to be a sub-human beast. The Aboriginal view of this entity is very different. To them, the Yowie is NOT the roaming simian that Mr Gilroy identifies as identical to the 'Doolagahl', but a spirit being which manifests in many different forms. The 'Doolagahl', or 'Goolagah' of the south-eastern tribes IS what we would regard as the Oz "Yeti" - but is

hardly regarded as primitive by those tribes. Indeed, they view them as wise teachers and elders who have taken the high 'mental' road of evolution, eschewing technology completely.

Many Aborigines laugh at attempts to capture this 'creature', particularly as it is known by them to be telepathic.

This, incidentally, is the reason such beings can co-exist with modern two-eyed humans down to the 21st Century and still remain free.

Good luck Rex, try communication. They can hear you.

R. Ayana, Buccabendinni, NSW

Re: Subliminals on TV

Dear Sir,

I have just read a note in the latest Nexus edition about subliminal advertising and it brought to mind an incident that occurred a few years ago.

Some friends were visiting us and we were all watching TV. As they had lived without electricity they had not seen TV for some months.

An advert came on and my friend Tommy experienced a flash with lasted a split second and she saw on the TV, Bob Hawke and another man who she did not recognise grinning out at her. Of course the message was unmistakable considering the elections were imminent. At the time we had never heard of subliminal advertising, but no-one doubted Tommy saw what she said she saw.

I really enjoy reading Nexus, keep up the good work.

J.L. Copacabana, NSW

Re: Mystery Ships

Dear Mr. Roads,

On December 31st, 1991, the

Brisbane Courier Mail ran a story with a photograph of a mystery war ship that turned up in Moreton Bay on December 19.

The warship was photographed by a group of Brisbane lawyers and identified as being the USS Missouri. However, the Brisbane Harbour Master, the Royal Australian Navy and the US Consul all claim the USS Missouri was not there and they would have known if it was.

Could it be that the USS Missouri was involved in a tele-transportation experiment? Such a large ship could not have made its way to this location by any normal means without being noticed by the authorities. It would have required a local pilot to guide it through the shipping channels.

It makes sense that if the people who worked on the Philadelphia Experiment in 1943 really did cause the USS Eldridge to vanish and rematerialize somewhere else, then today they might try the same thing on an aging battleship like the Missouri.

Even more intriguing is the fact that the Missouri was launched in 1944, but like the Eldridge, could have put to sea before the official launch. This would have made it available, 'unofficially' during the time of the Philadelphia Experiment in late 1943. If there's any truth to the claims of time travel then the ship may have jumped from 1943 to December 1991.

Brisbane was US Pacific Headquarters in WWII and the Missouri which was also involved in the Pacific campaign would certainly have plied near-by waters in those terms.

M. Stevens, Loganholme. Qld